#### WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

## FRESHWATER MONITORING UNIT

#### STREAM DISCHARGE TECHNICAL NOTES

**STATION ID:** 32E050

**STATION NAME:** North Fork Touchet River above Dayton

WATER YEAR: 2011

**AUTHOR:** Mitch Wallace

Introduction

Watershed Description

The North Fork Touchet River originates deep in the Blue Mountains at an elevation of over 6,000 feet. The watershed of the North Fork Touchet River is mainly forested with small farms in the valleys of the lower section. The North Fork Touchet River joins the South Fork Touchet River just above the city of Dayton to form the mainstem Touchet River. It contains a population of steelhead, spring Chinook, and bull trout.

## Gage Location

The gage is located on the left bank, downstream of the South Fork Touchet Road bridge, southeast of the town of Dayton, WA. It is located at river mile 0.5.

Table 1.

Drainage Area (square miles)	112 (Streamstats)
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	46° 17" 50" N
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	117° 57' 04" W

## **Discharge**

Table 2. Discharge Statistics.

Mean Annual Discharge (cfs)	179
Median Annual Discharge (cfs)	138
Maximum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	1380
Minimum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	34
Maximum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	1630
Minimum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	31
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 10 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	359
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 90 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	43
Number of Days Discharge is Greater Than Range of Ratings	0
Number of Days Discharge is Less Than Range of Ratings	0

Note: Statistics displayed in Table 2 may not include values in which the predicted discharge exceeds the range of ratings.

#### **Narrative**

Peak flow occurred on April 5, 2011 during seasonal runoff.

A significant channel change occurred during this event. The high flow deposited a gravel bar near the staff gage and terminal end. This gravel bar isolated the staff gage and terminal end from the main channel. The staff gage and terminal end were now in a side channel. It was discovered later in the year that the water elevation changes in the side channel did not reflect the water elevation changes in the main channel.

See stage record narrative for more information on this occurrence.

# **Error Analysis**

Table 3. Error Analysis Summary.

Logger Drift Error (% of discharge)	3.6*
Weighted Rating Error (% of discharge)	12.4
Total Potential Error (% of discharge)	n/a

# **Rating Table(s)**

Table 4. Rating Table Summary

Rating Table No.	6	402	7
Period of Ratings	10/1/10 to1/16/11	1/16/11 to 4/5/11	3/29/11 to 9/30/11
Range of Ratings (cfs)	25 to3630	18 to 3630	25 to 3630
No. of Defining Measurements	21	17	13
Rating Error (%)	11.9	11.8	12.8

Rating Table No.		
Period of Ratings		
Range of Ratings (cfs)		
No. of Defining Measurements		
Rating Error (%)		

Rating Table No.		
Period of Ratings		
Range of Ratings (cfs)		
No. of Defining Measurements		
Rating Error (%)		

## Narrative

Eight discharge measurements were taken throughout the water year, ranging from 48 to 470 cfs.

## **Stage Record**

Table 5. Stage Record Summary

Minimum Recorded Stage (feet)	0.32
Maximum Recorded Stage (feet)	4.15
Range of Recorded Stage (feet)	3.83
Number of Un-Reported Days	3
Number of Days Qualified as Estimates	180
Number of Days Qualified as Unreliable Estimates	0

#### **Narrative**

\*Logger drift percentage only refers to 10/1/10 through 4/13/11, due to the channel change referred to above.

The original staff gage and logger readings were determined to be compromised by their isolation from the main channel. A regression was run between staff gage values prior to the channel change and a secondary gage index. After the channel change, staff gage readings were calculated based on the regression equation.

The continuous logger data was discarded and replaced with data from Ecology station 32B100, Touchet River at Bolles Road. This data was then adjusted to match the calculated gage heights determined by the regression.

# **Modeled Discharge**

Table 6. Model Summary

Model Type (Slope conveyance, other, none)	Slope Conveyance
Range of Modeled Stage (feet)	4.0 to 6.0
Range of Modeled Discharge (cfs)	1500 to 3630
Valid Period for Model	WY 2011
Model Confidence	6.6%

# Surveys

Table 7. Survey Type and Date (station, cross section, longitudinal)

Туре	Date
station, x-section, long.	9/27/2011

# Activities Completed